

**Team rUNSWift**  
**University of New South Wales, Australia**

**RoboCup 2010**  
**Standard Platform League**

Jayen Ashar, David Claridge, Brad Hall, Bernhard Hengst, Hung Nguyen  
Maurice Pagnucco, Adrian Ratter, Stuart Robinson, Claude Sammut  
Benjamin Vance, Brock White, and Yanjin Zhu

ARC Centre of Excellence for Autonomous Systems  
Computer Science and Engineering  
University of New South Wales  
Sydney 2052 Australia

`{jayen,davidc,bradh,bernhardh,dhung,morri,adrianr,stuartr,claudio,bvance,  
brockw,yanjinz}@cse.unsw.edu.au`  
<http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au>

**Abstract.** RoboCup continues to inspire and motivate our research interests in cognitive robotics and machine learning, particularly layered hybrid architectures, abstraction, and high-level programming languages. The newly formed 2010 rUNSWift team includes mainly final year undergraduate students under the supervision of leaders who have been involved in RoboCup for many years. In 2010 the team revamped the entire code-base and implemented a new integrated project management system. Several innovations have been introduced in vision and locomotion this year, and several other planned developments will be tied over to 2011.

## 1 The Team

The RoboCup Standard Platform League (SPL) has been and continues to be excellent training for the undergraduates who also make a significant contributions towards research. The UNSW SPL teams (and previously the Four-legged teams) have almost entirely been made up of final-year undergraduate students, supported by faculty and research students. The 2010 rUNSWift team includes undergraduate students: Brock White, Benjamin Vance, David Claridge, Adrian Ratter, Stuart Robinson, and Yanjin Zhu; research students Jayen Ashar and Hung Nguyen; faculty staff: Bernhard Hengst, Maurice Pagnucco and Claude Sammut; Development Manager Brad Hall (see Figure 1).



**Fig. 1.** *The 2010 rUNSWift team. Left to right, top to bottom: Brad Hall, Brock White, Benjamin Vance, Claude Sammut, Bernhard Hengst, Maurice Pagnucco, David Claridge, Adrian Ratter, Stuart Robinson, Hung Nguyen, Yanjin Zhu, Jayen Ashar, Nao Blue, Nao Red.*

The team has the financial support of the School of Computer Science and Engineering at UNSW and the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Autonomous Systems. The School also provides a great deal of organisational support for travel. We have a competition standard field and a wealth of experience from our participation in the four-legged league, simulation and rescue competitions. Our sponsors include Atlassian Pty Ltd.

## 2 Project Management

For 2010 we reviewed our approach to team selection, project management, supervision and research and development. We embarked on a total rewrite of the code. We have found that part-time participation by large numbers of students does not lead to coordinated deliverables. The new 2010 team has been selected on the basis that core team members devote a significant amount of their time to research and development on the SPL project and count that effort towards either their final year thesis project or an approved special project. Students are selected on the basis of academic standing and some evidence of performance on larger projects.

A new project management system from Atlassian Pty Ltd has been configured by the students for use by the team. The Atlassian suite of products,

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the Confluence wiki for RoboCup 2010. The page title is "Home - Robocup 2010 - rUNSWIFT wiki". The browser address bar shows "http://runswift.cse.unsw.edu.au/confluence/display/rc2010/Home". The page content includes a navigation menu, a home message, a table of team members, a central image of a Nao robot, and a list of unresolved issues.

Spoken/Back	Locker	Name	Responsible Party	OK?
19/26	1	Demo-man	Brock White	✓
90/90	2	Engineer	Jayen Ashar Maurice Pagnucco	✗
104/104	1	Heavy	David Claridge	✓
16/?	3	Medic	Hung Nguyen	✓
221/50	5	Pyro	Shaun Robinson	✓
88/88	2	Scout	Adrian Ratter	✓
81/81	4	Sniper	Bernhard Hengst	✗
7/20	3	Soldier	Yanjin Zhu	✓
7/23	4	Spy		✗

Update your robot's status

A gratuitous glamour shot of the lovely Nao. Feel free to change this picture (and caption) frequently

Welcome to the Robocup 2010 space. This is where we will be keeping our documentation. More to come here later. Check out our JIRA & FishEye/Crucible instances as well.

**Admin Docs**

- Key Dates
- Robocup Documents
- Confluence
- Git Usage Notes
- Build Instructions
- Resources
- Local copies of software, documentation, papers: <https://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~robocup/nao> (requires CSE login and password)
- SysAdmin Docs
  - console entries
  - new version checklist
- Aldebaran

**Design Docs**

- libboard.so
- libnao
- libnao
- Version 1 Storyboard slides, task allocations, component descriptions
- Future Version Design Ideas
- Draft Architecture (V3.0)
- Simulation
- Movement: walk, kicks

**Aldebaran Docs**

**Unresolved Issues (15 issues)**

Type	Key	Summary	Status	Assignee
2	ROBOCUP-111	Vision reporting (slow speed when it gets close to goal and v3a camera not working)	Open	Adrian Ratter
2	ROBOCUP-110	Ball tracking when you close in stop point	Open	Brock White
2	ROBOCUP-108	Ball tracking with head	Open	Brock White
2	ROBOCUP-107	Archi discovery for camera connection	Open	Maurice Pagnucco
2	ROBOCUP-106	Equipped cameras config in	Open	David Claridge
2	ROBOCUP-105	Move vision run in less preferably much less	Open	David Claridge
2	ROBOCUP-104	display camera if rtt is too high	Open	Brock White
2	ROBOCUP-103	Create tab superclass to reduce some code repetition	Open	Brock White
2	ROBOCUP-102	Create a graph pilot driver primarily for robot histogram	Open	Maurice Pagnucco
2	ROBOCUP-101	Convert from image-based to line coordinates.	Open	Adrian Ratter
2	ROBOCUP-100	We should report our goals	Open	Maurice Pagnucco

Fig. 2. JIRA Studio - Confluence.

called JIRA Studio, has three components: an enterprise wiki, Confluence, is a web application that facilitates collaboration and knowledge management (see Figure 2); JIRA, that combines issue tracking, project management, customisable workflow to increase the velocity of software development by the team; and FishEye, that opens the source code repository to help you understand the code, facilitate code reviews and keep tabs on the team members who write it.

While many successful innovative ideas from previous years have been used to fast-track the new code, we have continued our development of a more robust vision system and non-beacon localisation. We have made some innovations in bipedal walking and the use of the foot sensors to help stabilise omni-directional locomotion.

### 3 Research Interests

The vision of many robotics researchers is to have machines operating in unstructured, real-world environments. Our long term aim is to develop general purpose intelligent systems that can learn and be taught to perform many different tasks autonomously by interacting with their environment. As an approach to this problem, we are interested in how machines can compute abstracted representations of their environment through direct interaction, with and without human assistance, in order to achieve some objective. These future intelligent systems

will be goal directed and adaptive, able to program themselves automatically by sensing and acting, accumulating knowledge over their lifetime.

We are interested in what Cognitive Robotics can contribute to the specification of flexible behaviours. Languages such as Golog (see Levesque and Pagnucco, 2000 for an application of Golog), allow the programmer to create highly reactive behaviours and the language incorporates a planner that can be invoked if the programmer wishes to be less specific about the implementation of a behaviour.

Traditional programming languages applied to robotics require the programmer to solve all parts of the problem and result in the programmer scripting all aspects of the robot behaviour. There is no facility for planning or deliberation. As a result programs tend to be complex, unwieldy and not portable to other platforms. High level robotic languages provide a layer of abstraction that allows for a variety of programming styles from deliberative constructs that resort to AI planning in order to achieve user goals through to scripted behaviours when time critical tasks need to be completed.

Our general research focus, of which the RoboCup SPL is a part, is to:

- further develop reasoning methods that incorporate uncertainty and real-time constraints and that integrate with the statistical methods used in SLAM and perception
- develop methods for using estimates of uncertainty to guide future decision making so as to reduce the uncertainty
- extend these methods for multi-robot cooperation
- use symbolic representations as the basis for human-robot interaction
- develop learning algorithms for hybrid systems, such as using knowledge of logical constraints to restrict the search of a trial-and-error learner and learning the constraints
- develop high level symbolic robotic languages that provide abstractions for a large range of deliberation, planning and learning techniques so as to simplify robot programming

### 3.1 Humanoid Robots

Research in our group includes applications of Machine Learning to bipedal gaits. PhD student Tak Fai Yik (a member of the champion 2001 four-legged team) collaborated with Gordon Wyeth at the University of Queensland to evolve a walk for the GuRoo robot (Wyeth, et al, 2003), which was entered in the humanoid robot league. This method was inspired by the gait learning devised for the Aibos. For the humanoid, the same philosophy is applied. Starting from a parameterised gait, an optimisation algorithm searches for a set of parameter values that satisfies the optimisation criteria. In this case, the search was performed by a genetic algorithm in simulation. When a solution was found, it was transferred to the real robot, working successfully. Subsequently, the approach we used was a hybrid of a planner to suggest a plausible sequence of actions and a numerical optimisation algorithm to tune the action parameters. Thus, the qualitative reasoning of the planner provides constraints on the trial-and-error

learning, reducing the number of trials required. Tak Fai developed and implemented this system for his PhD (Yik, 2007). It has been tested on a Cycloid II robot. It is our intention to continue this work as part of the development for the Nao, Bioloid and Cycloid robots.

## 4 Contributions to the Standard Platform and Four-Legged League

A UNSW team has taken part in every RoboCup competition since 1999. The year by year details are listed in the next section.

**Locomotion** In 2000, we introduced the UNSW walk, which became the standard across the league (Hengst et al, 2002). The key insight was to describe the trajectory of the paws by a simple geometric figure that was parameterised. This made experimentation with unusual configurations relatively easy. As a result, we were able to devise a gait that was much faster and more stable than any other team. Since then, almost all the other teams in the league have adopted a similar style of locomotion, some starting from our code. The flexibility of this representation led to another major innovation in 2003. We were the first team to use Machine Learning to tune the robot’s gait, resulting in a much faster walk (Kim & Uther, 2003). In succeeding years, several teams developed their own ML approaches to tuning the walk. Starting from the parameterised locomotion representation, the robots are able to measure their speed and adjust the gait parameters according to an optimisation algorithm.

**Localisation** The 2000 competition also saw the initial use of a Kalman filter-based localisation method that continued to evolve in subsequent years (Pham et al, 2002). In the 2000 competition, advantages in localisation and locomotion meant that the team never scored less than 10 goals in every game and only one goal was scored against it in the entire competition. Starting from a simple Kalman filter in 2000, the localisation system evolved to include a multi-modal filter and distributed data fusion across the networked robots. In 2006, we went from treating the robots as individuals sharing information, to treating them as one team with a single calculation spread over multiple robots. This allowed us to handle multiple hypotheses. It also allowed us to use the ball for localisation information.

**Vision** Our vision system evolved significantly over our eight years in the four-legged league. From the beginning, in 1999, we used a simple learning system to train the colour recognition system. In 2001, we used a standard machine learning program, C4.5, to build a decision tree recogniser. This turned out to be very important since the lighting we encountered at the competition was very different from our lab and our previous vision system was not able to cope. Also in 2000, our vision system became good enough to use robot recognition

to avoid team mates (Sammut & Hengst, 2003). In later years, we updated the vision system to be much faster and to recognise field markings reliably.

**Software Engineering and Architecture** Throughout the software development of the Aibo code, we have adopted a modular, layered architecture. The lowest layers consist of the basic operations of vision, localisation and locomotion. The behaviours of the robots are also layered, with skills such as ball tracking, go to a location, get behind ball, etc, being at the lowest level of the behaviour hierarchy, with increasingly complex behaviours composed of lower-level skills. Originally, all the behaviours were coded in C/C++ but in 2005 and 2006, as in 2010, the upper layers were replaced by Python code. We have also experimented with higher level functions coded in the experimental cognitive robotics language Golog.

One of the key reasons behind the UNSW team's success has been its approach to software engineering. It has always been: keep it simple, make the system work as a whole and refine only what evidence from game play tells us needs work. This practical approach has had a strong effect on our research because it has informed us about which problems are really worth pursuing and which ones are only imagined as being important.

## 5 Participation and Performance

A UNSW team has taken part in every RoboCup competition since 1999. Details of awards are as follows:

### **Standard Platform League/Four-legged league: 1999-2006, 2008-2009**

- 1st place: 2000, 2001, 2003
- 2nd place: 1999, 2002, 2006
- 3rd place: 2005
- Quarter-finalists: 2004, 2008
- Challenges: 1st in 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002,
- Challenges: 2nd in 2003

### **Simulation soccer: 2001 - 2003**

- 7th place: 2002

### **Rescue: 2005 - 2007, 2009**

- 3rd overall: 2005
- Semi-finalists and 2nd in autonomous robot challenge: 2006
- Finalists: 2007, 2009.
- Best in class Autonomy: 2009
- 2nd in Mobility: 2009
- Award for innovative user interfaces: 2009

## References

RoboCup SPL related publications:

1. 1999–2009 team reports as well as selected code are available at URL:  
<http://robocup.web.cse.unsw.edu.au>
2. Aaron James Soon Beng Tay (2009) Walking Nao Omnidirectional Bipedal Locomotion. UNSW SPL team report.
3. David G. Claridge, Carl Chatfield, Gary Huynh (2009). Software Systems for the Nao Humanoid Robot. UNSW SPL team report.
4. Mitchell Currie (2009) Robocup 2009: Localisation. UNSW SPL team report.
5. Brimo, A. (2008) RoboCup 2008. UNSW RoboCup 2008 Report.
6. Chen, S., Siu, L., Vogelgesang, T., Yik, T. F., Hengst, B., Pham, S. B. and Sammut, C. (2002). The UNSW RoboCup 2001 Sony Legged Robot League Team. In A. Birk, S. Coradeschi and S. Tadokoro (Eds.), *RoboCup 2001: Robot Soccer World Cup V* (pp. 39-48): Springer.
7. Chen, J., Chung, E., Edwards, R. & Wong, N. (2003). Rise of the Aibos III - Aibo Revolutions I. RoboCup Team Report. <http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/robocup/2003site/report2003.pdf>
8. Collien, D. and Huynh, G. (2008). From AIBO to NAO: The Transition from 4-Legged to 2-Legged Robot Soccer, UNSW RoboCup 2008 Report.
9. Hengst, B., Ibbotson, D., Pham, S. B. and Sammut, C. (2000). UNSW RoboCup 2000 Team Report. <http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/robocup>
10. Hengst, B., Ibbotson, D., Pham, S. B., Dalgliesh, J., Lawther, M., Preston, P. and Sammut, C. (2001). The UNSW Robocup 2000 Sony Legged League Team. In P. Stone, T. Balch and G. Kraetzschmar (Eds.), *Robocup 2000: Robot Soccer World Cup IV* (Vol. 2019, pp. 64-75), Springer.
11. Dalgliesh, J. and Lawther, M. (1999). Playing soccer with quadruped robots. Computer Engineering Thesis, School of Computer Science and Engineering, University of New South Wales.
12. Hengst, B., Pham, S. B., Ibbotson, D. and Sammut, C. (2002). Omnidirectional Locomotion for Quadruped Robots. In *RoboCup 2001: Robot Soccer World Cup V* (pp. 368-373). Berlin: Springer.
13. Kadous, M. W., Sheh, R. and Sammut, C. (2006). Controlling Heterogeneous Semi-autonomous Rescue Robot Teams. In 2006 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetic.
14. Kadous, W., Sammut, C. and Sheh, R. (2006). Autonomous Traversal of Rough Terrain Using Behavioural Cloning. In *The 3rd International Conference on Autonomous Robots and Agents*.
15. Kim, M. S. And Uther, W. (2003). Automatic gait optimisation for quadruped robots. In *Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation*. Brisbane.
16. Levesque, H. J., and Pagnucco, M., (2000) Legolog: Inexpensive Experiments in Cognitive Robotics, In *Proceedings of the Second International Cognitive Robotics Workshop*, Berlin, Germany.
17. Pagnucco, M. and Rajaratnam, D. (2005): Inverse Resolution as Belief Change. *IJCAI 2005*.545.

18. Pham, K. C. and Sammut, C. (2005). RDRVision - Learning vision recognition with Ripple Down Rules. Proc. Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation (pp. 7 pages).
19. Pham, S. B., Hengst, B., Sammut, C. and Ibbotson, D. (2002). Stochastic Gradient Descent Localisation in Quadruped Robots. In RoboCup 2001: Robot Soccer World Cup V (pp. 447-452).
20. Nardi, D., Riedmuller, M. and Sammut, C. (Eds.). (2005). Proc of the RoboCup 2004 Symposium.
21. Sammut, C. and Hengst, B. (2003). The Evolution of a Robot Soccer Team. Robotics Research: The Tenth International Conference (pp. 517-529): Springer.
22. Sammut, C. (2003). Robot Soccer: Science or just fun and games? Proceedings of the Australian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (pp. 12-23). Perth: Springer.
23. Sammut, C., Kadous, W., & Sheh, R. (2007). In K. Furukawa (Ed.), Learning to Drive Over Rough Terrain International Symposium on Skill Science, Tokyo.
24. Sheh, R., Kadous, M.W., Sammut, C., & Hengst, B. (2007). In D. Nardi (Ed.), Extracting Terrain Features from Range Images for Autonomous Random Stepfield Traversal. IEEE International Conference on Safety, Security and Rescue Robotics, Rome.
25. Raymond Sheh, Bernhard Hengst (2004). A Fast Vision Sensor Model: Matching Edges with NightOwl Proceedings of the Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation (ACRA)
26. Tay, A. (2008). Exploration of Nao and its Locomotive Abilities. UNSW RoboCup 2008 Report.
27. Thomas J., Blair, A. & Barnes, A., (2003). Towards an efficient optimal trajectory planner for multiple mobile robots, Proc. 2003 International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems.
28. Wyeth, G., Kee, D. and Yik, T. F. (2003). Evolving a Locus Based Gait for a Humanoid Robot. In International Conference on Robotics and Intelligent Systems. Las Vegas.
29. Yik, T. F. (2007). Locomotion of Bipedal Robots: Planning and Learning to Walk. PhD Thesis. School of Computer Science and Engineering, University of New South Wales.
30. Yik, T.F., & Sammut, C. (submitted). In M. Srinivasan & M. Dunbabin (Eds.), Trial-and-Error Learning of a Biped Gait Constrained by Qualitative Reasoning. Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation, Brisbane.
31. Zheng Wang, Bernhard Hengst, Claude Sammut (2002). Localisation in an Uncertain World with Multiple Agents, MII19.